## KAUFMAN & CANOLES

——— | A Professional Corporation 1---

Attorneys and Counselors at Law

Beth V. McMahon 757 / 624-3010 bvmcmahon@kaufcan.com

757 | 624-3000 fax: 757 | 624-3169 *Mailing Address* P.O. Box 3037 Norfolk, VA 23514

150 West Main Street Suite 2100 Norfolk, VA 23510

June 9, 2005

#### VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Clerk of the Board, Environmental Appeals Board 1341 G Street, NW Suite 600 Washington, DC 20005

Re:

Smith Farm Enterprises, L.L.C. Docket No.: CWA-3-2001-0022

Dear Madam:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of the Respondent in the referenced matter is a Motion Requesting Oral Argument and Consolidation of Oral Argument with Case No. CWA-3-2001-0021.

Please call me if you have questions.

Very truly yours,

Beth V. McMahon

BVM/mmt Enclosure

\_\_. . .

Lydia Guy (via Federal Express w/encl)

Stefania D. Shamet, Esq. (via Federal Express w/encl)

DOCSNEK-11989692-v1-vico\_smith\_appeal\_clerk\_ht\_mmt.DOC

Chesapeake

Hampton

Newport News

Richmond

Virginia Beach

av. Menal

Williamsburg



RECEIVED U.S. E.P.A.

### BEFORE THE UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION III

2005 贈 10 層半 (生

EMYIR, APPEALS BOARD

In the Matter of

Vico Construction Corporation, Smith Farm Enterprises, LLC, Proceeding to Assess Class II Administrative Penalty Under Section 309(g) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)

Docket No.: CWA-3-2001-0022

Regarding property known as the "Smith Farms" Site located north of Portsmouth Boulevard (Rt. 337) and east of Shoulders Hill Road, and south of Rt. 17 in Chesapeake and Suffolk, Virginia (the "Property")

# MOTION REQUESTING ORAL ARGUMENT AND CONSOLIDATION OF ORAL ARGUMENT WITH CASE NO. CWA-3-2001-0021

The Board recently granted oral argument <u>In the Matter of VICO Construction</u>

Corporation and Amelia Venture Properties, <u>LLC</u>, Docket No.: CWA-3-2001-0021. Oral argument is scheduled for July 14, 2005. This case addresses the same legal issues. The assignments of error (with one exception) are identical. The factual records are also very similar. For example, the allegedly violative work was performed by the same contractor using the same procedures, the EPA's methods of inspection were the same, and Respondents' experts presented similar testimony in both cases. Further, Counsel for the EPA and for the Respondents'Appellants are the same in both cases.

An appeal in this case was noted on June 3, 2005. Respondent requests that the Board consider ordering oral argument in this case and scheduling the oral argument on July 14, 2005, the same date as the oral argument in the Amelia Venture. The briefing in this case will also be

complete by July 14, 2005, so consolidating the oral arguments would promote efficiency and reduce the litigants' expenses.

Respectfully submitted,

SMITH FARM ENTERPRISES, LLC

eeu V. Menalio

Beth V. McMahon

Hunter W. Sims, Jr.
Marina Liacouras Phillips
Beth V. McMahon
Kaufman & Canoles, P.C.
150 W. Main Street, Suite 2100
Norfolk, VA 23510

Phone: (757)624-3000 Fax: (757)624-3169

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this \_\_\_\_\_ day of June 2005, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was sent via Federal Express to:

Ms. Lydia Guy Regional Hearing Clerk (3RC00) U.S. EPA, Region III 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029 Fax: (215) 814-2603

Stefania D. Shamot, Esquire United States Environmental Protection Agency Region III 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029

Been v. Weneho

::ODMA\PCDOC\$\DOC\$NFK\989526\1